



RULES & REGULATIONS

**CONFORMATION-FUNCTIONAL JUDGING
PUREBRED SPANISH HORSES**

© 2026 | www.ancce.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRELIMINARY TITLE..... 5

TITLE I..... 5

ARTICLE 3.....5

ARTICLE 4.....5

ARTICLE 5.....5

ARTICLE 6.....6

ARTICLE 7.....6

ARTICLE 8.....6

ARTICLE 9.....6

TITLE II..... 6

JUDGES 6

ARTICLE 10.....6

ARTICLE 11.....6

ARTICLE 12.....7

ARTICLE 13.....7

ARTICLE 14.....8

ARTICLE 15.....8

TITLE III..... 8

ARTICLE 16.....8

ARTICLE 17.....8

ARTICLE 18.....8

ARTICLE 19.....9

ARTICLE 20.....9

ARTICLE 21.....9

TITLE IV 10

ARTICLE 22.....10

ARTICLE 26.....11

ARTICLE 27.....11

ARTICLE 28.....11

TITLE V 12

JUDGING 12

ARTICLE 29.....12

ARTICLE 30.....12

ARTICLE 31.....12

ARTICLE 32.....12

TITLE VI 13

ARTICLE 33.....13

ARTICLE 34.....13

ARTICLE 35.....13

ARTICLE 36.....	14
ARTICLE 37.....	14
ARTICLE 38.....	14
ARTICLE 39.....	14
ARTICLE 40.....	14
ARTICLE 41.....	15
ARTICLE 42.....	15
ARTICLE 43.....	15
ARTICLE 44.....	15
ARTICLE 45.....	16
ARTICLE 46.....	16
ARTICLE 47.....	16
ARTICLE 48.....	17
ARTICLE 49.....	17
ARTICLE 50.....	17
ARTICLE 51.....	17
APPENDIX I.....	19
APPENDIX II.....	24
I. INTRODUCTION:.....	27
II. VALUES:	27
III. MEANING:	30

**PRELIMINARY TITLE
GENERAL DISPOSITION**

Article 1. These Rules and Regulations seek to standardize all organizational and functional procedures to be followed when holding Conformation Competitions for Purebred Spanish Stallions and Mares.

The Judging Rules and Regulations, approved by the Executive Committee, are reviewed annually by the Competition Commission who, in all cases, is responsible for any and all interpretations of these mandates, as applicable, when applying these Rules and Regulations.

Article 2. These current Rules and Regulations are binding and mandatory for application by Judges and the overseeing authority, which shall also comply with the criteria for Breed Quality, the guidelines in the Judging Manual and, as set out in the current Conformation Competitions Rules and Regulations for Purebred Spanish Stallions and Mares.

**TITLE I
TECHNICAL COMMITTEE OF JUDGES**

Article 3. Prior to the thirty-first (31st of December of each year, the ANCCE Executive Committee, with the approval of the Board of Directors, shall appoint the members of the Technical Committee of Judges. This Committee shall include a maximum of three (3) members, one (1) serving as President and another as Secretary.

Article 4. Members of the Technical Committee of Judges shall comply with the following aspects:

- a) Judges are committed to not judging while being a member of the Technical Committee of Judges nor during the rest of a given season once they cease in their functions; however, judges shall retain their condition as an active Judge while performing their duties.
- b) Judges shall not belong to any of the ANCCE executive bodies.
- c) Judges may not participate in Competitions, whether as a breeder, veterinarian, presenter, groom, rider, trainer, consultant or member of the Organizing Committee.

Article 5. The term as Judge shall be one (1) calendar year, running from January 1st until December 31st.

Members of the Technical Committee of Judges may be removed from their post prior to the end of their term when:

- a) A member fails to attend three (3) or more meetings of the Technical Committee of Judges without justified cause.
- b) Upon agreement of the Executive Committee.
- c) Failure to comply with the mandates foreseen in Article 4.
- d) Due to death or incapacitating illness.
- e) Due to voluntary resignation.

In the event of termination of one or all members, the ANCCE Executive Committee shall proceed to designate substitute/s for the rest of the term, until the end of the year in course.

Article 6. The Technical Committee of Judges shall have the following responsibilities:

- a) Issue the Annual List of Judges and send it to the Competition Commission with the proposed judges for each group.
- b) Propose the implementation of certifications, training and courses regarding consensus as deemed appropriate in coordination with the Competition and Training Commission.
- c) Assess and monitor all judging activities while the judges carry out their responsibilities. Once concluded, the Technical Committee of Judges shall review all competitions. They shall examine the judging to then immediately propose and present the upgrade or downgrade that competition before the Competition Commission, to any given category as deemed appropriate. In those cases in which competitions have already been granted, the affected judges shall be replaced by substitute judges.
- d) Initiate and sentence disciplinary actions.
- e) Assess conflicts of interests presented annually by the judges.
- f) Propose judges to judge the World PRE Championship Final and present a justified, written proposal before the Competition Commission.
- g) Settle all claims, complaints and incidents presented against judges.
- h) Present reports to the Competition Commission regarding possible changes in the Rules and Regulations and the Competition Judging Manual.

Article 7. On a regular basis, the Technical Committee of Judges shall inform the Competition Commission about their proceedings and present, once SICAB has concluded, a report evaluating the performance of the judges and all of those matters regarding their expertise, being obliged to attend the World PRE Championship Final.

Article 8. Members of the Technical Committee of Judges shall receive the compensation determined by the Executive Committee and reimbursement for travel, accommodation and maintenance costs derived from the exercise of their function.

Article 9. The Technical Committee of Judges shall meet on a regular basis.

Agreements shall be adopted based on the majority of its members, with the deciding vote being cast by the President. Should a conflict of interests arise from among the members of the Technical Committee, the affected member shall abstain from voting on that resolution.

TITLE II JUDGES

Article 10. Conformation and/or Functionality Judges shall be considered judges, once they are authorized by ANCCE, in accordance with the criteria established by the Executive Committee.

A Judge who is authorized for both Conformation and Functionality shall only judge one type of event during a given season, except in the case of 1-star and 2-star competitions that require one or two Conformation Judges for both events.

Article 11. A Judge who has not exercised as such during previous seasons and seeks to return to judging shall comply with the following requirements:

- In such case that he/she did not judge during the previous season, he/she shall not be included in Group 1, except in exceptional cases as deemed appropriate by the Executive Committee.
- Should he/she not have judged during one (1) or more consecutive seasons, he/she shall prove his/her updated knowledge regarding the applicable criteria established in the Judging Manual, Rules and Regulations, Breed Quality as required by the Technical Committee of Judges.

Article 12. Judges have the following obligations:

- a) Be the maximum authority within the arena regarding scoring and classification.
- b) Their independence is to be respected when judging.
- c) The Organizing Committee shall provide judges with decent accommodations and meals.
- d) Receive the professional fees as previously agreed to with the Organizing Committee.

Article 13. Judges have the following obligations:

- a) Be fair and objective.
- b) Respect Breed Quality, the Conformation Competition Judging Manual, the Rules and Regulations for Conformation-Functional Competitions and these current Rules and Regulations for Judges when judging.
- c) Declare and state any and all conflicts of interest that may arise.
- d) Issue a report to the ANCCE Competition Department regarding the conditions, developments and incidents of the competition that they judge within seven (7) calendar days after the conclusion of said competition.
- e) Attend training courses and establish unified criteria at the request of ANCCE.
- f) Obey sentences issued by the Technical Committee of Judges and ANCCE governing bodies.
- g) Obey operational guidelines given by the Competition Organizing Committee.
- h) Show suitable behavior in accordance to their function and responsibility both while in and outside the arena.
- i) Wear appropriate attire, including a blue jacket, beige trousers, tie and hat. Always wear the official ANCCE accreditation in a visible manner.
- j) Avoid any interaction with breeders and participants prior to and during, and be especially careful once the event concludes; notwithstanding an occasional greeting whether in or out of the grounds where the competition is being held.
- k) Judge those competitions for which they have been appointed.
- l) Attend the accepted competition and judge all of the corresponding sections, classes or tests.
- m) Be willing and available to judge at the PRE World Championship Final, as may be the case.
- n) Sign the official Competition Reports at the end of the competition.
- o) Remain on site until the end of the competition including one (1) hour after the competition has concluded in which the Judge shall be accompanied by the Technical Director or Chief Steward to be available to participants. Exceptionally, in the case of Functionality Judges, this Judge shall be available for the participating breeders during one (1) hour once the functionality test has concluded in full.
- p) Agree, together with the Organizing Committee, the fees to be paid to the judges for judging the competition; this mutual agreement shall be favorable to both parties.

Article 14. Judges shall not do any of the following:

- a) Touch any of the participating horses.
- b) Neither receives nor provides any indication concerning the horse/s, their origins or results at previous competitions, or regarding their owners.
- c) Judges shall not present, ride, drive or hold the reins of any horse entered into the competition.
- d) Judge more than eighty (80) horses per day except at the World PRE Championship Final.
- e) Judges shall not assume any duties as organizer or commentator, nor any other functions related to the competition.
- f) Judges shall not enter the arena with notes of any kind. They shall be limited to carrying the elements provided by the Organizing Committee and, shall turn in said elements at the conclusion of the competition for these to be included in the Minutes.
- g) The use of cell phones and electronic devices other than those validated by ANCCCE in the arena are strictly prohibited.
- h) Judge competitions not included in the Official PRE Competition Calendar without the authorization of the Competition Committee
- i) Judge sections or tests that are not covered by Rules & Regulations.

Article 15. In January of each year, judges seeking to exercise during that season shall provide the Technical Committee of Judges with an affidavit stating their commitment and availability to judge throughout the year, mentioning the type of events they would like to judge, as may be the case.

A Judge who is also a breeder, rider or presenter/groom shall not participate as such at any of the competitions that may be held throughout the season in which he/she may exercise as Judge.

In such case that a Judge requests a leave of absence, he/she shall request said leave of absence for a minimal period of three (3) years to avoid distorting the program established by the Technical Committee of Judges and the Competition Commission. In the case of force majeure or illness, this period of time may be altered at the proposal of the Technical Committee of Judges, and ratified by the Competition Commission.

A Judge who has been inactive for one (1) or more seasons, should he/she wish to return, shall present his/her request to the ANCCCE Competition department before the 1st of January of the year in which he/she intends to practice.

TITLE III **RESTRICTIONS AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Article 16. All judges shall cease to exercise their functions as such once they are seventy (70) years of age.

Article 17. With regards to renowned cases of consultancy, management and marketing of horses for a specific breeder or cases of managing positions or effective control in a stud farm performed by a Judge, said Judge shall not perform as a Judge for the following ten (10) seasons as of the conclusion of said professional, work or commercial relationship.

Article 18. A Judge that has a work relationship or is a relative to the first degree, or by marriage to a member of the Technical Committee of Judges, shall not be allowed to carry out his/her functions as judge while being a member of said committee.

Article 19. No Judge shall judge a competition section, class or test in which there is a horse that could be involved in a conflict of interests.

Specific examples of conflicts of interest along with the temporary extension are listed below:

- a) When a Judge has been, whether directly or indirectly, the owner or breeder of a given horse. This conflict of interest is extensive throughout the life of the horse.
- b) Be the spouse, significant other, or relative to the second degree of a breeder or stud farm owner. This conflict of interest shall extend as long as the relationship exists.
- c) Be the spouse, significant other, or relative to the second degree of a rider or presenter of a given horse. The conflict of interest shall extend as long as the relationship exists.
- d) When a Judge is the trainer of a given horse, or the presenter or rider of that horse, the conflict of interest shall extend for as long as the condition exists and for a period of three (3) years. This conflict of interest is not applicable to situations of mere transmission of general knowledge to presenters, trainers and riders, if and when said knowledge is not provided on a private basis.
- e) Maintain or have maintained, whether directly or indirectly, commercial ties or transactions as entrepreneur or employee of a breeder or, in the case of stud farms, as its legal representative, whether as a breeder or owner, with or without economic gain. This conflict of interest shall extend to all horses of said breeder for a period of three (3) years as of the date of conclusion. In the specific case of Judges who are veterinarians and/or assistants and who provide occasional assisted reproduction service or any other similar clinical practice, the conflict of interest shall affect the owner or staff authorized by said service that contracted the corresponding technician and for a period of three (3) months as of the date of said service concluded.

Article 20. In January of each year, the Technical Committee of Judges shall request a sworn affidavit from all active judges stating any conflict of interests that may affect them. The truthfulness of said affidavit is the sole responsibility of the Judge.

Conflicts of interest that may arise after said deadline shall be reported to the Technical Committee of Judges within a maximum of five (5) business days once the conflict of interests has arisen or is known.

Likewise, if a Judge has any doubts regarding the interpretation of conflicts of interests or their extent, said Judge shall inform the Technical Committee of Judges as quickly as possible, so that this body may decide about the importance of the situation.

Should the Technical Committee of Judges consider that a Judge is affected by an undeclared conflict of interest, said Committee shall duly document the situation, notwithstanding any resulting disciplinary proceedings.

Article 21. The maximum number of conflicts of interests permitted for each shall be as follows:

- To judge throughout the current season, the Judge shall have no more than eight (8) conflicts of interest with any participating stud farm and its horses. When the conflict of interest affects a stud farm that is not a regular competition participants, but who goes to a given competition, the Judge who is affected shall inform the Technical Committee of Judges well in advance.
- Said Judge may not be included in Group 1 when he/she has five (5) or more conflicts of interest with any participating stud farm and its horses.

The maximum number of conflicts of interests allowed for each of the judges at each competition shall be two sections, except the exemptions as proposed annually for the World PRE Championship Final.

TITLE IV SELECTION AND APPOINTMENT SYSTEM

Article 22. At the beginning of each year, the Technical Committee of Judges shall draft a list of Conformation and Functionality Judges, which shall then be sent to the Competition Commission and approved by the Executive Committee.

Article 23. The Technical Committee of Judges shall propose the distribution of judges for both Conformation and Functionality as assigned to Group 1 and Group 2, as well as those who are not active, and present it before the Competition Commission for review. The Competition Commission shall present the final distribution of groups before the Executive Committee for approval.

The distribution of judges in each Group shall follow the criteria established by the Executive Committee after consulting with the Competition Commission, based on such aspects as availability, professional career, conflicts of interest, training, experience and use of the Judging Manual, adaption to the values stated in the Code of Ethics and any other aspects that lead to a good judging, in addition to the independent and impartial image demanded by this task.

Prior to the commencement of the season, the Technical Committee of Judges may perform an assessment test regarding the Judge's knowledge of the Judging Manual and the current Rules & Regulations.

The Executive Committee may ratify the distribution of judges throughout the competition season, in keeping with the prior proposals of the Competition Commission.

Article 24. Judges in Group 1 shall be responsible for judging 3-star Competitions held in Spain, all competitions, whatever the category, held abroad and the World PRE Championship Final.

Judges in Group 2 shall be responsible for judging 2-star and 1-star Competitions held in Spain.

Article 25. The number of judges for each competition, based on the category of said competition and its venue are:

a) In Spain:

- **3-star Competitions:** dos two (2) Conformation Judges and one (1) Functionality Judge. *(The only allowance being island competitions held in the Canary Island or the Balearic Islands, where, exceptionally, there may be only two (2) conformation judges who judge both tests).*
- **2-star Competitions:** one (1) Conformation Judge and one (1) Functionality Judge or two (2) Conformation Judges and one (1) Functionality Judge and two (2) Conformation Judges who shall judge both tests.
- **1-star Competitions:** one (1) Conformation Judge and one (1) Functionality Judge or one (1) Conformation Judge who shall judge both tests, to be decided by the Organizing Committee, with prior report to ANCCE (by default, it shall be one (1) Conformation Judge).

b) Abroad:

- **3-star Competitions:** two (2) Conformation Judges and one (1) Functionality Judge or two (2) Conformation judges who shall judge both tests.

- **2-star Competitions:** one (1) Conformation Judge and one (1) Functionality Judge, or two (2) Conformation Judges, to be decided by the Organizing Committee, with prior report to ANCCE (by default, it shall be two (2) Conformation Judges).
- **1-star Competitions:** one (1) Conformation Judge and one (1) Functionality Judge or one (1) Conformation Judge who shall judge both tests, to be decided by the Organizing Committee, with prior report to ANCCE (by default, it shall be one (1) Conformation Judge).

c) Final World Championship Final:

- At least four (4) Conformation Judges and two (2) Functionality Judges.

Article 26. Judges shall be chosen for competitions by means of a draw that is open to the public and, held at the ANCCE offices. This draw is organized by the Competition Department under the supervision of the Competition Commission, except the judges for the World PRE Championship Final, who shall be chosen by the Competition Commission, at the proposal of the Technical Committee of Judges and ratified by the Executive Committee.

A Judge who intervenes at a national and/or international competition as the only Judge shall not judge during the following season or during the current season, as a single judge.

Once the draw has been held, all results shall be reported to the Technical Committee of Judges and published on the ANCCE web site.

After the draw and the election of judges for a given competition, the Organizing Committee shall not change their request for a given number of judges.

All judges elected by the draw shall send written acceptance to the Competition Commission, except due to justified cause, within three (3) calendar days as of the notification of said election.

The method used by ANCCE for the draw to select Judges at Conformation competitions—except SICAB—shall be determined by the Competition Commission at any given point in time. These draws shall be broadcast on ANCCE communication channels as deemed appropriate.

Article 27. The draw to select 1-star judges for competitions held in Spain and abroad shall be held each quarter.

The draw to select the judges for 3-star and 2-star competitions held in Spain shall take place two (2) Wednesdays prior to the commencement of each event, once the Competition Department has analyzed any possible conflicts of interest among the judges for that competition.

The draw to select functionality judges shall comply with the mandates established by the Competition Commission to favor the availability of said judges.

Article 28. The Organizing Committees, after they have received all of the entries for the competition and verifying any conflicts of interest between the judges and participants, shall send written confirmation to the Competition Commission with the names of the judges chosen or shall request that appointment of substitute judges.

Should it be physically impossible, and justified to count on one (1) or several of the appointed judges, the Organizing Committee shall inform the Competition Commission in writing so that this Commission may assign the corresponding substitute judges.

Also, should a Judge not accept an appointment, or should he/she suddenly be unable to attend the competition, the Competition Commission shall assign the corresponding substitute Judge.

The Competition Commission shall inform the Technical Committee of Judges regarding any and all substitutions.

TITLE V

JUDGING

Article 29. Judges shall examine the attending horses in keeping with Breed Quality, their conformation and movements at walk, trot and canter, following the criteria for Breed Quality in force at the time, and the mandates of the Competition Judging Manual. While performing their duties, the Judge may be assisted by staff provided by the Organizing Committee. This person must never be the Chief Steward.

Article 30. When several judges intervene at a competition and when one of said judges faces a conflict of interests in a section due to a horse, breeder, presenter, groom or rider, including the functionality test, that section shall be judged by the other judges, without the presence of the Judge affected by the conflict of interests. Should there be a substitute Judge, he/she shall enter to judge the complete section, including functionality.

Should there be a single Judge and no other substitute Judge, the horse affected by the conflict of interest shall be removed and considered entered at that competition and the entry fee paid shall be returned to the owner.

Should a horse involved in a conflict of interests qualify for any of the Special Awards, these same mandates shall prevail.

Article 31. Judges shall use the official Score Sheets when judging. Models of these are provided in Appendix I and II of these current Rules and Regulations. The Score Sheet shall be filled out using a ball-point or ink pen. Should the need arise to erase or cross out a score, all corrections must have the signature of the Judge indicating said correction, or by means of an ANCCE-certified hand-held device.

Each section on the Score Sheet corresponds to the various body regions or movements. It is followed by 21 squares from 0 to 10, including half points.

The Judge shall place an "X" in the corresponding box that best indicates what he/she considers appropriate for each body region or movement.

Article 32. Score Sheets shall be filled out as indicated below:

- a) Concursos Competitions with a single Judge or sections judged by a single Judge: the Judge shall fill out the Score Sheets for both Conformation and Functionality.
- b) Competitions with two (2) or more Conformation Judges: each Judge shall fill out his/her own Score Sheet individually; there shall be no conversation/consultation among the judges until they have concluding the judging of a section. The final score for each horse shall be the mathematical average of all scores granted.

Once a judge has turned the score sheet over to the competition's technical secretary, said score sheet shall remain unaltered and in a closed envelope, and no corrections shall be made. In such case that a judge has mistakenly granted a score to a different horse that was in the arena, it is the sole responsibility of the ANCCE Competition Department to access and clean said file to then provide the judge with a clean copy of said score sheet.

To calculate the final score, both for Conformation and for Functionality, the average shall be rounded up using three (3) decimal points.

All divergent scores among the judges must be analyzed by the Technical Committee of Judges, with the scores being settled based on the official images of the competition so that the scores carefully meet Breed Quality criteria and the Competition Judging Manual, with measures being adapted as deemed appropriate.

TITLE VI DISCIPLINARY CODE

CHAPTER I. DISCIPLINARY PROCEDURES

Article 33. The Technical Committee of Judges is the competent body to launch, instruct and sentence disciplinary procedures against judges.

The Instructor may be a member of the Technical Committee of Judges, or another person designated by the ANCCE General Director.

Article 34. Disciplinary procedures may be launched ex officio or at the request of a given party based on a report formulated before the Competition Commission or the Technical Committee of Judges.

The Technical Committee of Judges shall proceed to analyze the events and may dismiss the claim, should it consider that it does not constitute wrongdoing as stated in the Rules & Regulations. This decision must then be reported to the claimant in which case an appeal may not be presented.

Article 35. The Technical Committee of Judges shall launch the disciplinary process by means of a disciplinary procedure initiation agreement that identifies the alleged perpetrator; an Instructor shall be appointed. The facts and/or events behind said initiation shall be clearly indicated together with the possible type of misconduct and the corresponding sentence. The right to formulate allegations shall also be indicated, as well as the hearing before the competent body in the procedure with the corresponding timeline, warning the parties involved should allegations not be presented within the established timeline, the corresponding Proposed Resolution shall be issued. Likewise, the tentative measures shall be adopted.

The interested party shall be informed regarding the launching of the disciplinary process and the Instructor shall be informed.

Notifications may be sent by any method that allows for the verification that notifications have been received. Failure to accept or the return of notifications sent to the home, data and/or address provided or that appears in ANCCE files shall be considered as notified/delivered.

Article 36. The Technical Committee of Judges may agree to launch procedures that are duly motivated, establishing tentative measures that may be necessary to guarantee the effectiveness of the resolution that may be dictated, the smooth running of the procedure, avoid maintaining the effects of the misconduct while safeguarding the interests of third parties or ANCCE.

Article 37. The interested party may formulate allegations, present evidence and documents within ten (10) business days as of the reception of the communiqué indicating the commencement of the process. The statement shall establish the address and/or email address for future notifications, as well as contact information of any proposed witnesses.

Once the Instructor receives allegations, the Instructor shall review the evidence deemed appropriate, and may also request further evidence and reports considered necessary to clarify all facts.

The Instructor may reject evidence when, in his/her opinion, said evidence fails to clarify the facts and/or events being studied, or when said evidence is difficult or impossible to prove.

The timespan to present evidence shall be ten (10) business days, notwithstanding that the Instructor, due to justified cause, may request that said period of time be expanded up to five (5) additional days.

Article 38. Once the investigation has concluded, the Instructor shall formulate a Proposed Resolution, which shall explain the facts and events upon which the legal status is based, the assessment of all evidence, and shall determine, based on the Rules and Regulations, the misconduct committed, the person who is responsible and the proposed sentence. Likewise, the decision to maintain the tentative measures shall also be specified.

The interested party shall be notified regarding the Proposed Resolution, who in turn, shall have ten (10) business days, as of the date he/she receives the communiqué, to formulate allegations and present any documents or reports deemed appropriate.

Article 39. The Technical Committee of Judges shall dictate the Resolution upon having assessed the evidence upon which their decision has been made, stating the facts, the misconduct committed and the sentence imposed.

No other facts or events, other than those presented throughout the course of the process, shall be accepted, independently of the legal assessment. Should the misconduct or the disciplinary action be considered more serious than what was determined in the Proposed Resolution, the interested party shall be notified so that he/she may present any and all allegations deemed necessary within seven (7) business days.

The Technical Committee of Judges shall inform the interested party regarding the Resolution, stating any appeal that he/she may be eligible to present against said Resolution and the corresponding timeline for presentation.

Article 40. The Resolution may be appealed before the ANCCE Executive Committee within fifteen (15) business days, as of the reception and knowledge of the sentence. Should no appeal be presented, the sentence shall be considered final.

The Executive Committee shall decide about the appeal within fifteen (15) business days, informing the interested party, which puts an end to the disciplinary route, with the Resolution then being executive, in which case shall be considered final as of its notification.

Article 41. The duration of the disciplinary process shall not surpass six (6) months as of the date on the initiation agreement.

CHAPTER II MISCONDUCT AND DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Article 42. The following are considered Minor Misconduct:

- a) Failure to follow standards of courtesy and the appropriate attire to carry out functions as a Judge.
- b) Access the arena with material other than that provided by the Organizing Committee or validated by ANCCE.
- c) Failure to report incidences that may arise within the course of the competition.
- d) Smoke or eat in the arena.

Article 43. The following are considered Serious Misconduct:

- a) When it comes to judging, failure to respect the order established by the draw.
- b) Attempt to coerce other judges to influence the score given to a horse.
- c) Unjustified failure to comply with the timetable established by the Organizing Committee to begin a section or functional test, when this is not understood as a serious disruption for the smooth running of the competition.
- d) Show lack of respect or insult breeders, riders, handlers, presenters, members of the Organizing Committee or other judges, whether within the limits of the arena or not.
- e) Take the reins of or ride a horse that is going to be judged.
- f) Use a cell phone or electronic devices while judging, except that have been previously authorized.
- g) Interact with breeders or their direct relatives, presenters, handlers, riders, trainers or participating horse/rider pairs throughout the course of or immediately prior to the competition both within and outside the venue, other than cordial greetings.
- h) Failure to inform the Organizing Committee or the Competition Commission of notorious disrespect or behavior against the rules on behalf of breeders, presenters, handlers or riders while performing their duties as Judge.
- i) Repeated and unjustified non-attendance to training workshops or the summons to refresher courses or behave in such a way that shows total lack of interest or being disorderly at any of the aforementioned sessions.
- j) Failure to respect instructions given by members of the Organizing Committee or the established Rules for the smooth running of the competition.
- k) Perpetrate an event of minor misconduct after having been punished previously for another event due to minor misconduct throughout the year.
- l) Inexcusable ignorance when complying with his/her obligations as stated in the Rules and Regulations.
- m) Failure to comply with the mandates of Breed Quality and the criteria established in the Judging Manual for Competitions, as established by the Technical Committee of Judges.

Article 44. The following are considered Severe Misconduct:

- a) Judge a competition when said Judge fails to have authorization from ANCCE or when he/she violated a confirmed disciplinary action.

- b) Judge competitions that are not included on the official ANCCE Calendar without the corresponding authorization from the Competition Commission.
- c) Coercion or intimidation toward other judges in an effort to impose his/her own will when judging horses.
- d) Failure to attend a competition after having accepted that competition as the Judge, except in the case of justified cause and having informed the Competition Commission in advance.
- e) Failure to appear to judge a section or test, or be late to the extent that it seriously hinders the smooth running of the event, except in the case of force majeure, in which case the Organizing Committee must be informed as quickly as possible.
- f) Failure to attend meetings established by the Organizing Committee, or be late to the extent that it hinders the meeting, except in the case of force majeure, in which case the Organizing Committee must be informed as quickly as possible.
- g) Repeated breach in following Breed Quality Guidelines and the criteria established in the Competition Judging Manual due to malice or unacceptable negligence, as established by the Technical Committee of Judges.
- h) Failure to comply with the Rules and Regulations regarding conflict of interests and/or restrictions.
- i) Any type of aggression, insult, threat, coercion or slander towards breeders, riders, grooms, presenters, members of the Organizing Committee or other judges, both within or outside the limits of the arena.
- j) Failure to comply with the Ruled & Regulations regarding conflict of interests or restrictions.
- k) Perpetrate any type of aggression, insult, threat, coheres or slander against breeders, riders, presenters/grooms, members of the Organizing Committee or other Judges, whether or not said person is in the arena.
- l) Arrive at the judging venue under the effects of alcohol or drugs, or appear in public under the effects of said substances throughout the competition.
- m) Fail to fill out the Score Sheet or act in a way that tends to hinder or alter the way judging is undertaken.
- n) Fail to comply with the resolutions and provisions as dictated by the Technical Committee of Judges when performing his/her functions as Judge.
- o) Perpetrate an event of serious misconduct after having been punished previously for other events of serious misconduct.
- p) Inexcusable ignorance when complying with their obligations as stated in the Rules and Regulations, when this is detrimental to third parties and/or that goes against the Judges' Code of Ethics.
- q) Repeatedly interaction with breeders or their direct relatives, presenters, riders or trainers of horses or pairs participating in the competition or immediately prior to the commencement of the venue, whether within the limits of the arena or not.

Article 45. Minor Misconduct shall be punishable as a written reprimand, which may be issued with no further process than a meeting with the party, prior to a summary of the corresponding information.

Article 46. Serous Misconduct shall receive the following disciplinary actions:

- Temporary suspension as a Judge, ranging between four (4) months to one (1) year.

Article 47. In the case of Severe Misconduct, the following disciplinary actions shall be imposed:

- Temporary suspension as a Judge, ranging between one (1) year and a day to five (5) years.
- Permanent dismissal as a Judge.

Article 48. The statute of limitation for Minor Misconduct is six (6) months, for Serious Misconduct it is one (1) year and for Severe Misconduct it is eighteen (18) months.

The statute of limitation for all disciplinary offences begins as of the commitment of the offence.

The statute of limitation shall be interrupted as of the notification date on the agreement to launch disciplinary actions.

Article 49. Regarding the degree of the disciplinary actions applied, mitigating circumstances shall be taken into consideration in terms of the responsibility of the interested party and how, in the opinion of the Technical Committee of Judges, these influence the facts shall be taken into consideration.

Article 50. The responsibility of the Judge shall conclude:

- a) Once the punishment has been completed.
- b) Once the disciplinary action, whether in full or in part, has been lifted by the Competition Commission and ratified by the Executive Committee, if and when there are sound reasons justifying such a move.

Article 51. The Technical Committee of Judges shall have a register of all disciplinary actions imposed.

Written warnings shall be considered cancelled after one (1) year, as of the date the sentence is final, except in those cases in which another disciplinary procedure is launched against the party with the corresponding disciplinary action. The list of remaining disciplinary actions shall be cancelled within three (3) months as of the date when the responsibility concluded or stopped.

APPENDIX

APPENDIX I


ANEXO I
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Morfología (Secc. 3ª, 5ª, 7ª, 9ª y 11ª)

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO

REGIONES		PUNTUACIONES																		Coef.	TOT.			
1	PASO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
2	GALOPE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
3	TROTE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
Racialidad de movimientos		Baja						Media						Alta										
4	CABEZA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
5	CUELLO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
6	CRUZ, DORSO y LOMO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
7	GRUPA Y COLA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
8	TORÁX, PECHO Y VIENTRE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
9	EXTREMIDADES ANTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
10	EXTREMIDADES POSTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
11	APLOMOS	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
12	CONDICIÓN GENERAL Y ARMONÍA MORFOFUNCIONAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											
13	FIDELIDAD RACIAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

TOTAL MOVIMIENTOS	TOTAL MORFOLOGÍA	TOTAL FINAL
-------------------	------------------	-------------



OBSERVACIONES:

JUEZ: _____

FIRMA: _____


ANEXO I
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Morfología (Secc. 4ª y 6ª)

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO

REGIONES		PUNTUACIONES																		Coef.	TOT.			
1	PASO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
2	GALOPE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
3	TROTE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
Racialidad de movimientos		Baja						Media						Alta										
4	CABEZA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
5	CUELLO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
6	CRUZ, DORSO y LOMO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
7	GRUPA Y COLA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
8	TORÁX, PECHO Y VIENTRE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
9	EXTREMIDADES ANTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
10	EXTREMIDADES POSTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
11	APLOMOS	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
12	CONDICIÓN GENERAL Y ARMONIA MORFOFUNCIONAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											
13	FIDELIDAD RACIAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

TOTAL MOVIMIENTOS		TOTAL MORFOLOGÍA		TOTAL FINAL	
-------------------	--	------------------	--	-------------	--



OBSERVACIONES:

JUEZ: _____

FIRMA: _____


ANEXO I
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Morfología (Secc. 8ª, 10ª y 12ª)

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO
--------	--------	---------	----------

REGIONES		PUNTUACIONES																		Coef.	TOT.			
1	PASO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
2	GALOPE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
3	TROTE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,000	
Racialidad de movimientos		Baja						Media						Alta										
4	CABEZA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
5	CUELLO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
6	CRUZ, DORSO y LOMO	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	1,100	
7	GRUPA Y COLA	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
8	TORÁX, PECHO Y VIENTRE	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,900	
9	EXTREMIDADES ANTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
10	EXTREMIDADES POSTERIORES	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
11	APLOMOS	0	0.5	1	1.5	2	2.5	3	3.5	4	4.5	5	5.5	6	6.5	7	7.5	8	8.5	9	9.5	10	0,700	
12	CONDICIÓN GENERAL Y ARMONIA MORFOFUNCIONAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											
13	FIDELIDAD RACIAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

TOTAL MOVIMIENTOS	TOTAL MORFOLOGÍA	TOTAL FINAL (75% MORF+MOV)
-------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------



OBSERVACIONES:

JUEZ: _____


FIRMA: _____

ANEXO I
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Morfología - Secc. 13ª

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO

REGIONES	PUNTUACIONES																				coeficiente	TOTAL
	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5		
1	MOVIMIENTOS																				1,700	
2	MANEJABILIDAD																				1,400	
3	PRESENTACIÓN																				1,000	
4	UNIFORMIDAD DE CAPA																				1,700	
5	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD ALZADA																				1,700	
6	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD CONFORMACIÓN																				2,100	
7	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD CARACTERES RACIALES																				2,400	

TOTAL FINAL



OBSERVACIONES:

JUEZ: _____


FIRMA: _____

ANEXO I
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Morfología - Secc. 14ª

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO

REGIONES		PUNTUACIONES																			coeficiente	TOTAL		
		0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9			9,5	10
1	MOVIMIENTOS	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,700	
2	MANEJABILIDAD	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,400	
3	PRESENTACIÓN	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,000	
4	UNIFORMIDAD DE CAPA	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,700	
5	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD ALZADA	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,700	
6	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD CONFORMACIÓN	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	2,100	
7	CALIDAD Y UNIFORMIDAD CARACTERES RACIALES	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	2,400	

TOTAL FINAL



OBSERVACIONES:

JUEZ: _____

FIRMA: _____

APPENDIX II

ANEXO II FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Funcionalidad 4 años

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO
1	AXC	Entrada al trote de trabajo por línea central sin saludo. Pista a mano derecha.	16 FA Galope de trabajo.
2	CMB AKE	Trote de trabajo.	17 Entre AyK Trote de trabajo.
3	EXB	Doblar a lo ancho.	18 KXM Cambio de mano alargando los trancos.
4	B BMCH	Doblar a la izquierda. Trote de Trabajo.	19 M Trote de trabajo sentado.
5	HK	Alargar trancos.	20 Entre MyC Galope de trabajo a la izq.
6	Entre KyA	Paso medio.	21 HM Semicirculo 20m a galope de trabajo.
7	A F	Paso medio.	22 MCH Galope de trabajo.
8	FXH	Paso libre. Dejar al ejemplar estirarse en riendas largas.	23 HK Alargar los trancos.
9	H	Volver a tomar las riendas. Paso medio	24 K Galope de trabajo.
10	Entre HyC	Trote de trabajo.	25 Entre KyA Trote de trabajo.
11	CB	Trote de trabajo.	26 AF Trote de trabajo.
12	BE	Semicirculo 20m al trote sentado.	27 FK Semicirculo 20m. Dejar al ejemplar estirarse en riendas largas buscando el contacto.
13	Antes de E	Galope de trabajo.	28 K Volver a tomar las riendas.
14	EHCM	Galope de trabajo a la dcha.	29 A Doblar a lo largo.
15	MF	Alargar los trancos.	30 X Parada - Inmovilidad - Saludo.

* La reprise se podrá realizar en trote levantado a excepción de las figuras 12 y 19 que se hará a trote sentado.

REGIONES	PUNTUACIONES															coeficiente	TOTAL			
	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7			7,5	8	8,5
1	TROTE DE TRABAJO															1,680				
2	ALARGAMIENTO DE LOS TRANCOS AL TROTE															1,680				
3	PASO MEDIO															1,660				
4	PASO LIBRE															1,660				
5	GALOPE DE TRABAJO															1,660				
6	ALARGAMIENTO DE LOS TRANCOS A GALOPE															1,660				
7	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT					1,000				
8	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT					1,000				

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

TOTAL FINAL <small>(25% Funcionalidad)</small>	
--	--

JUEZ _____ FIRMA: _____

ANEXO II
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Funcionalidad 5 y 6 años

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO
1	AX X Entrada al trote de trabajo. Parada, inmovilidad y saludo. Salida al trote de trabajo por línea central. C Pista a mano derecha. CB Trote de trabajo.	7	BK Paso largo.
		8	KA A Paso medio. Galope de trabajo a mano izq.
		9	AFB Galope de trabajo
2	BX Semicirculo de 10m a mano derecha. XB Semicirculo de 10m a mano izquierda. VKAF Trote reunido.	10	BRSE Galope medio con alargamiento de los trancos y entre R y S semicirculo de 20m.
		11	E Galope reunido KDE Semicirculo de 10 m volviendo a pista en E. EH Galope trocado.
		12	H Cambio de pie simple. ME Cambio de mano
3	FXH Alargamiento de trancos.		
4	H Trote de trabajo. CA Serpentina de 3 bucles tocando el lado mayor.	13	EK K Galope trocado Trote de trabajo
		14	KAFP Trote de trabajo.
5	KXM Alargamiento de trancos. MC Trote reunido	15	PV Semicirculo de 20m permitiendo estirar riendas.
		16	VK A Volver a tomar riendas Doblar a lo largo
6	C Parada - Paso atrás e inmediatamente partir al paso medio. HB Paso medio.	17	X Parada, inmovilidad y saludo.

* El trote en esta prueba se hará sentado a excepción de su figura 15 que se realizará a trote levantado.
 * No se tendrán en cuenta para la puntuación en la prueba de funcionalidad, el trote reunido (fig. 2 y 5), el paso atrás (fig. 6) y galope reunido (fig. 11). Estas figuras sólo se tendrán en cuenta para la puntuación en el Esquema de Selección.

REGIONES	PUNTUACIONES										coeficiente	TOTAL											
	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5			5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10
1 TROTE DE TRABAJO	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,680	
2 ALARGAMIENTO DE LOS TRANCOS AL TROTE	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,680	
3 PASO MEDIO	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,660	
4 PASO LARGO	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,660	
5 GALOPE DE TRABAJO	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,660	
6 ALARGAMIENTO DE LOS TRANCOS AL GALOPE	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5	5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5	9	9,5	10	1,660	
7 IMPRESIÓN GENERAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											
8 FIDELIDAD RACIAL	N	P	MM	M	D	S	A	B	MB	EXC	EXT	1,000											

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

OBSERVACIONES:

TOTAL FINAL (25% Funcionalidad)	
---	--

JUEZ: _____

FIRMA: _____

ANEXO II
FICHA DE CALIFICACIÓN - Funcionalidad 7 años en adelante

DORSAL	NOMBRE	SECCIÓN	CONCURSO
1	AX X XC Entrada al trote de trabajo. Parada, inmovilidad y saludo. Salida al trote de trabajo por línea central, pista a la derecha.	8	HC Paso medio.
		9	C Galope de trabajo a la derecha.
		10	MF Galope largo.
2	MRB BEB BPFA Trote de trabajo. Circulo de 20 m de diametro en trote de trabajo. Trote de trabajo.	11	FDB B Semicirculo de 10 m de diametro. Seguir a galope hacia B.
		12	BR RS Galope trocado. Semicirculo de 20 m en galope trocado.
3	KM Diagonal a trote largo.	13	EV VKAF Cambio de pie simple. Galope de trabajo.
4	MCHS EB Trote de trabajo. Semicirculo de 20 m, permitiendo al ejemplar estirarse en riendas largas	14	FS Diagonal en galope largo.
5	BE EVKA Recoger riendas y seguir al trote Continuar por la pista hasta A en trote de trabajo.	15	SH Entre S y H cambio de pie simple.
		16	CMH C Circulo de 20 m de diametro en galope de trabajo.
6	AFP Paso medio.	17	C Transición a trote de trabajo.
7	PH Paso largo.	18	CRSG G Trote de trabajo. Parada, inmovilidad y saludo.

* El trote en esta prueba se hará sentado a excepción de su figura 4 en la que se puede optar por hacerlo levantado.

REGIONES	PUNTUACIONES										coeficiente	TOTAL								
	0	0,5	1	1,5	2	2,5	3	3,5	4	4,5			5	5,5	6	6,5	7	7,5	8	8,5
1	TROTE DE TRABAJO										1,680									
2	TROTE LARGO										1,680									
3	PASO MEDIO										1,660									
4	PASO LARGO										1,660									
5	GALOPE DE TRABAJO										1,660									
6	GALOPE LARGO										1,660									
7	IMPRESIÓN GENERAL										1,000									
8	FIDELIDAD RACIAL										1,000									

(N: nulo 0), (P: pésimo 1), (MM: muy malo 2), (M: malo 3), (D: deficiente 4), (S: suficiente 5), (A: aceptable 6), (B: bueno 7), (MB: muy bueno 8), (EXC: excelente 9), (EXT: extraordinario 10)

OBSERVACIONES:

TOTAL FINAL (25% Funcionalidad)	
---	--

JUEZ: _____

FIRMA: _____

JUDGES' CODE OF ETHICS FOR CONFORMATION-FUNCTIONAL COMPETITION JUDGES

I. Introduction:

Conformation-Functional Competitions organized throughout the world under the coverage of the Royal National Purebred Spanish Horse Breeders' Association (ANCCE) comply with a variety of objectives. Among these are the selection, fostering and promotion of Purebred Spanish Horses.

The aforementioned competitions are governed by Rules & Regulations, with the participating horses being classified by age and sex, according to their conformation and movements guided in-hand by a groom. Horses also prove their functionality with specific tests under saddle.

The necessary classification and qualification is undertaken by ANCCE-appointed judges. These judges are obliged to adjust their criteria to the established breed quality standards, following the guidelines provided in the Judging Manual or Judging Guidelines.

A set of judges with unquestionable integrity is a basic institution to guarantee the adequate effectiveness of the standards for breed quality at competitions. The dedication of these judges, to adapt to each horse, shall always be accompanied by ethics that are well grounded in the task of judging, whatever the social environment where carried out. Values such as objectivity, independence, justice, transparency, competence or adequate training, due diligence and correctness in their statements constitute the foundation upon which the confidence of breeders, presenters and riders lies when participating in any of the aforementioned competitions. This confidence has to be visible even when loopholes are seen or when there is room for interpretations due to shortcomings or failures in the Rules and Regulations; obviously, not all situations arising from the extent of reality may be legislated.

The public image of judges together with their legitimacy or the usefulness of these Competitions is intertwined with the application of these principles and values.

These values are part of the traditions behind any "mutatis mutandi" (meaning that the necessary changes having been made) judicial function. Such aspects have been included in the "Bangalore Principles" drafted by the United Nations Economic and Social Council in its resolution 2006/23 dated July 27 and in the Report 2009/2010 by the Code of Judicial Conduct for the European Network of Judiciary Council Members. There are many other statements that have been made by an extensive number of organizations, all of which seek similar objectives.

Therefore, an opening statement is necessary; one that lists the basics that are inherent to all classification and qualification activities carried out by ANCCE Judges at future competitions.

II. Values:

a) Independence:

This is the desirable state of any Judge when judging. Judges shall have freedom to judge, without influences or pressure that could limit their ability to maintain an honest and impartial stance.

Judges must not be influenced by the requests and specific proposals on behalf of breeders, presenters, grooms or riders. Likewise, Judges shall never be influenced by Organizing Committees or other bodies seeking to place their own agenda above the justice of scores.

Independence is neither a privilege nor a prerogative. It is an essential element for other principles.

The only acceptable ties are legal mandates or the Rules & Regulations, the mandates regarding breed quality and at most, all aspects regarding the above, the Judging Manual. This Manual is understood as a set of adapted guidelines that strive to avoid undesirable dispersion and unfounded scores that could lead to unfair situations.

b) Objectivity:

Although independence differs from objectivity, both concepts are closely linked and related. Objectivity constitutes the cornerstone of all judging processes.

Fairness must contain an element of fact and another translational or of reasonable perception.

In fact, this aspect arises from the Judge's mental predisposition when before a horse or horse and rider, which leads him/her to grant scores that deserve the honorable appreciation of said Judge, without prejudice to or predispositions at the personal level.

Perception takes place when third parties have, in general, a reasonable opinion that the Judge's notes are biased, especially when these are decoupled from certain conditions that could objectively be seen as motivating preferences or tendencies in one or another direction. This second aspect is essential, as it could affect the credibility of the entire judging system, thus sparking a spirit of distrust.

An ample and extensive career in the sector provides time for encounters and knowledge among all parties involved, but a Judge shall always maintain a subtle balance, not only during the competition, but also before and after the event. Judges shall work to avoid situations or actions that in the eyes of a reasonable observer could be seen as a lack of fairness in their scores. Judges shall be restrained when expressing their opinions.

A "conflict of interests" refers to moral aspects, even more than any other external influence. These all arise when the apparent personal interests of a Judge enter into conflict with the clear image that he/she dictates (the score) without any unfair preferences.

The Rules & Regulations strive to cover a given number of situations. Nevertheless, well beyond what has been established by these Rules, the bottom line is the principle of fairness as an objective fact and an expected perception.

"Any Judge towards whom there are legitimate reasons to fear their lack of fairness must step down."

c) Justice and Transparency:

Justice is to "give to each person what (he/she) is due." (Ulpian. 3rd Century AD)

These words could be understood as the continuity towards the specifics of independence and fairness. In each and every case, a score has to be granted that the Judge deems unbiased. That justice is to be based on the adjectives of the score scale which in turn, is linked to the definitions in the Rules & Regulations for PRE breed quality and the interpretations established in the Judging Manual.

Judges shall be oblivious to emotions arising from the behavior of breeders, presenters, riders, peers, etc., especially when these are not part of the competition. Judges shall overcome, with indifference, anything and everything taking place in the stands and outside the competition field. Judges transcend sympathies and phobias to attain a temporary status of their own nature.... after all is said and done, judges grant the score they believe correct, based on their good understanding, but resulting solely from the object being judged.

Nevertheless, justice, to be visible, must be transparent. Therefore, judges may express, to a certain extent, the reasons behind their decisions. When the case arises, and to the extent that the circumstances so allow, a Judge should be able to explain how they reached a given decision, to even— within those limited cases where necessary (and that could take place due to a variety of circumstances)—accept the possibility that the score granted is lacking in quality or perfection.

d) Expertise:

Judges, when expressing their opinion regarding the object being judged, must necessarily be competent in their field of expertise.

Judges shall have general knowledge about horses both as a species and as a breed. This knowledge includes breed history, conformation, functionality, elements of equitation, etc. For the adequate implementation of their responsibilities, it is especially important that judges have a complete understanding of PRE breed quality and the Judging Manual.

At all times, judges shall endeavor to have updated knowledge, clarify doubts and pay attention to any and all contents that improve the quality of their judging. To this end, judges become the custodians of continual training and outstanding opinion.

On the other hand, judges need to be in good physical condition and psychologically stable to be able to perform their tasks to the best of their abilities. Judges, at all times, shall avoid mental states that could give way to confusion, weariness or lack of diligence when performing their duties.

e) Accuracy:

In all fields, judges are linked to the idea of prudence or cautious (from the Roman concept known as "prudentia"), polite in their contact with others, pondering their expressions, showing restraint or adapting their behavior.... In other words, intrinsic to the notion of "judge" is the idea of "respect" both in terms of attributions as well as in their expected behavior.

Judges' actions, the way they express their opinions, in their timeliness, how they treat breeders, presenters, riders, members of the Organizing Committee, contracted staff and the general audience must never deviate from this interpretation.

f) Integrity:

Much more than an isolated value, this virtue saturates one's behavior with honesty. It is part of one's nature, with positive morality where judges rebuke rouge behavior, resist dishonest temptations, fail deceit, and outlaw falsehoods.

Integrity is not easy to retain, but it is fed by the standard moral principles of our community.

Society expects a Judge to behave along these lines.

III. Meaning:

The values indicated in this Code of Ethics should inspire the tasks and responsibilities of ANCCE Conformation-Functional Competition Judges. These same values must be the foundation that condemns those actions and omissions of individuals, which, being unfounded, have a negative impact on the task or image of the judges and/or the actual Association.

Also, this Code of Ethics plays a role when interpreting the meanings that integrate a sense of fair play or when creating the identity of reason behind the analogy, for the purpose of Articles 3 and 4 of Spain's Civil Code, regarding the criteria for the interpretation of legal standards governing the sector.